# Defects, dualities and gauging in string theory via gerbes

#### Rafał R. Suszek

#### (Department Mathematik, Universität Hamburg)

(based, in part, on joint work with Gawędzki & Waldorf, with Runkel)

Nordic String Theory Meeting 2010

Leibniz Universität Hannover, 22–23 February 2010

# Part I

# A 2-category for the 2D $\sigma$ -model

# The gerbe for the monophase world-sheet

Lagrangean description of the (critical) string - the simplest scenario



Χ.



 $(M, g, \mathcal{G}), \operatorname{curv}(\mathcal{G}) =: \mathrm{H} \in Z^{3}(M)$ 

governed by the action functional (d $X = \partial_a X^\mu \, d\sigma^a \otimes \partial_\mu$ )

$$S_{\sigma}[X;\gamma] = -rac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} \operatorname{g}(\operatorname{d} X, \star_{\gamma} \operatorname{d} X) - \operatorname{i} \log \operatorname{Hol}_{\mathcal{G}}(X)$$

 $Hol_{\mathcal{G}}(X)$  is the SURFACE HOLONOMY

# The gerbe for the monophase world-sheet

Lagrangean description of the (critical) string - the simplest scenario



X :



 $(M, g, \mathcal{G}), \operatorname{curv}(\mathcal{G}) =: \operatorname{H} \in Z^{3}(M)$ 

governed by the action functional (d $X = \partial_a X^\mu \, d\sigma^a \otimes \partial_\mu$ )

$$S_{\sigma}[X;\gamma] = -rac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} g(\mathsf{d}X^{\wedge};\star_{\gamma}\mathsf{d}X) - \mathsf{i}\log\operatorname{Hol}_{\mathcal{G}}(X)$$

 $\operatorname{Hol}_{\mathcal{G}}(X)$  is the SURFACE HOLONOMY of GERBE  $\mathcal{G}$ , locally given by

 $\begin{cases} H|_{\mathcal{O}_{i}} =: dB_{i} \\ (B_{j} - B_{i})|_{\mathcal{O}_{i} \cap \mathcal{O}_{j}} =: dA_{ij} \\ (A_{jk} - A_{ik} + A_{ij})|_{\mathcal{O}_{i} \cap \mathcal{O}_{j} \cap \mathcal{O}_{k}} =: i d \log g_{ijk} \\ (g_{jkl} \cdot g_{ikl}^{-1} \cdot g_{ijl} \cdot g_{ijk}^{-1})|_{\mathcal{O}_{i} \cap \mathcal{O}_{j} \cap \mathcal{O}_{k} \cap \mathcal{O}_{l}} = 1 \end{cases}$ mod

$$\begin{cases} B_i \mapsto B_i + d\Pi_i \\ A_{ij} \mapsto A_{ij} + (\Pi_j - \Pi_i)|_{\mathcal{O}_i \cap \mathcal{O}_j} - id \log \chi_{ij} \\ g_{ijk} \mapsto g_{ijk} \cdot (\chi_{jk}^{-1} \cdot \chi_{ik} \cdot \chi_{ij}^{-1})|_{\mathcal{O}_i \cap \mathcal{O}_j \cap \mathcal{O}_k} \end{cases}$$

## Pour qu'on n'en ait pas (que) la gerbe...



La gerbe, Henri Matisse (1953)

# The string background for the multiphase world-sheet

Natural generalisation (e.g., strings on orbifolds and T-folds):  $\Sigma$  with embedded DEFECT  $\Gamma$ 



# The string background for the multiphase world-sheet

Natural generalisation (e.g., strings on orbifolds and T-folds):  $\Sigma$  with embedded DEFECT  $\Gamma$ 

 $\sigma$ -model requires STRING BACKGROUND  $\mathfrak{B} = (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{J})$ 



# The string background for the multiphase world-sheet

Natural generalisation (e.g., strings on orbifolds and T-folds):  $\Sigma$  with embedded DEFECT  $\Gamma$ 





# The string background for the multiphase world-sheet – ctd.

#### Upshot: cohomological classification scheme for $\sigma$ -models

FIELD THEOF	RY $CFT_{\alpha}$	$D_{lphaeta}$	$J_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_n}$
GEOMETRY	$\frac{TARGET}{\mathcal{M} = (M, g, \mathcal{G})}$	$\frac{BI\text{-}BRANE}{\mathcal{B}}=(\mathcal{Q},\omega,\iota_1,\iota_2,\Phi)$	<b>INTER-BI-BRANE</b> $\mathcal{I} = (T_n, \varphi_n, (\varepsilon_n^{k,k+1}, \pi_n^{k,k+1})   n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0})$
2-CATEGOR	Y object	1-morphism	2-morphism
ℬ © τ ♭ ( <i>M</i> ⊔ <i>Q</i> ⊔	т) <i>G</i>	$\Phi:\iota_1^*\mathcal{G}\xrightarrow{\sim}\iota_2^*\mathcal{G}\star\mathcal{I}(\omega)$	$\varphi_n:\circ_{k=1}^n\pi_n^{k,k+1*}\Phi^{\varepsilon_n^{k,k+1}} \stackrel{\sim}{\Longrightarrow} \mathrm{id}$
classificatio	$\begin{array}{c c} & W^2(M, H) \text{ is} \\ H^2(M, U(1)) \text{ -torsor} \end{array}$	$W^1(M,\mathcal{G}_1 ightarrow\mathcal{G}_2)$ is $H^1(M,\mathrm{U}(1))$ -torsor	$W^0(M, \Phi_1 \Rightarrow \Phi_2)$ is $\mathrm{U}(1)^{ \pi_0(M) }$ -torsor

# Part II

# Dualities via world-sheet defects

# The canonical interpretation of defects – lines

Categorial quantisation & more geometric analyses suggest

(some) DEFECTS  $\sim$  STRING DUALITIES

This can be rendered rigorous in the 2-categorial setting...

## The canonical interpretation of defects – lines

Categorial quantisation & more geometric analyses suggest (some) DEFECTS  $\sim$  STRING DUALITIES This can be rendered rigorous in the 2-categorial setting... Thm.: 3 canonically defines PREQUANTUM BUNDLE  $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma} \to \mathsf{P}_{\sigma}, \qquad \operatorname{curv}(\mathcal{L}_{\sigma}) = \Omega_{\sigma}$ Def.: DUALITY  $\equiv \Omega_{\sigma}^{-}$ -lagrangean submanifold  $\mathfrak{D}_{\sigma} \subset \mathsf{P}_{\sigma} \times \mathsf{P}_{\sigma}, \qquad \mathrm{pr}_{1}^{*} \mathscr{H}_{\sigma} = \mathrm{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathscr{H}_{\sigma}$ together with a bundle isomorphism

 $\mathrm{pr}_{1}^{*}\mathcal{L}_{\sigma}|_{\mathfrak{D}_{\sigma}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{pr}_{2}^{*}\mathcal{L}_{\sigma}|_{\mathfrak{D}_{\sigma}}$ 

# The canonical interpretation of defects – lines

Categorial quantisation & more geometric analyses suggest (some) DEFECTS ~ STRING DUALITIES This can be rendered rigorous in the 2-categorial setting... Thm.:  $\mathfrak{B}$  canonically defines PREQUANTUM BUNDLE  $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma} \rightarrow \mathsf{P}_{\sigma}$ ,  $\operatorname{curv}(\mathcal{L}_{\sigma}) = \Omega_{\sigma}$ Def.: DUALITY  $\equiv \Omega_{\sigma}^{-}$ -lagrangean submanifold  $\mathfrak{D}_{\sigma} \subset \mathsf{P}_{\sigma} \times \mathsf{P}_{\sigma}$ ,  $\operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*}\mathscr{H}_{\sigma} = \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*}\mathscr{H}_{\sigma}$ 

together with a bundle isomorphism

$$\mathrm{pr}_{1}^{*}\mathcal{L}_{\sigma}|_{\mathfrak{D}_{\sigma}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{pr}_{2}^{*}\mathcal{L}_{\sigma}|_{\mathfrak{D}_{\sigma}}$$

Thm.: B canonically defines a duality iff

- $\tilde{\iota}_{\alpha}$  : LQ  $\rightarrow$  LM : X  $\mapsto \iota_{\alpha} \circ X$  are surjective submersions
- $\circ$  ( $\Gamma$ , X) topological
- extra conditions (technical)

# The canonical interpretation of defects – junctions

Defect junctions naturally associated with interactions

Further hints from categorial quantisation & study of maximally symmetric WZW defects.

# The canonical interpretation of defects - junctions



Further hints from categorial quantisation & study of maximally symmetric WZW defects.

<u>Thm.</u>:  $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{J})$  can. define a  $\Omega_{\sigma}^{+-}$ -isotropic INTERACTION SUBSPACE  $\mathfrak{I}_{\sigma}(\circledast \mathcal{B} : \mathcal{J} : \mathcal{B}) \subset \mathsf{P}_{\sigma} \times \mathsf{P}_{\sigma} \times \mathsf{P}_{\sigma}$ 

together with a bundle isomorphism

 $\left(\mathrm{pr}_1^*\mathcal{L}_{\sigma}\otimes\mathrm{pr}_2^*\mathcal{L}_{\sigma}\right)|_{\mathfrak{I}_{\sigma}(\circledast\mathcal{B}:\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{B})}\xrightarrow{\cong}\mathrm{pr}_3^*\mathcal{L}_{\sigma}|_{\mathfrak{I}_{\sigma}(\circledast\mathcal{B}:\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{B})}$ 

# The canonical interpretation of defects – junctions



Further hints from categorial quantisation & study of maximally symmetric WZW defects.

<u>Thm.</u>:  $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{J})$  can. define a  $\Omega_{\sigma}^{+-}$ -isotropic INTERACTION SUBSPACE  $\mathfrak{I}_{\sigma}(\circledast \mathcal{B} : \mathcal{J} : \mathcal{B}) \subset \mathsf{P}_{\sigma} \times \mathsf{P}_{\sigma} \times \mathsf{P}_{\sigma}$ 

together with a bundle isomorphism

$$\left(\mathrm{pr}_{1}^{*}\mathcal{L}_{\sigma}\otimes\mathrm{pr}_{2}^{*}\mathcal{L}_{\sigma}\right)|_{\mathfrak{I}_{\sigma}\left(\circledast\mathcal{B}:\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{B}\right)}\xrightarrow{\cong}\mathrm{pr}_{3}^{*}\mathcal{L}_{\sigma}|_{\mathfrak{I}_{\sigma}\left(\circledast\mathcal{B}:\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{B}\right)}$$

**Example:** 2-iso's for maxym G-WZW defects  $\sim$ spaces of conformal blocks on punctured and decorated  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  via  $CS_k(G)$  on  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}P^1_{\{P_k\}_{k \in \overline{1,n}}}$  with parallel Wilson lines of fixed holonomy

# Symmetries as distinguished dualities

Converse result for a class of dualities with local data

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{\sigma} \ : \ \mathrm{pr}_{1}^{*}\mathcal{L}_{\sigma}|_{\mathfrak{D}_{\sigma}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{pr}_{2}^{*}\mathcal{L}_{\sigma}|_{\mathfrak{D}_{\sigma}}, \\ \mathrm{i} \log \Phi_{\sigma \, \mathrm{i}}[(X_{1},\mathsf{p}_{1}),(X_{2},\mathsf{p}_{2})] = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{1}} \, \mathrm{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^{1}) \, \mathsf{p}_{2\,\mu} \, F^{\mu}(X_{1}) + W_{\mathrm{i}}[(X_{1},X_{2})] \end{split}$$

## Symmetries as distinguished dualities

Converse result for a class of dualities with local data

$$\Phi_{\sigma} : \operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*}\mathcal{L}_{\sigma}|_{\mathfrak{D}_{\sigma}} \xrightarrow{-} \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*}\mathcal{L}_{\sigma}|_{\mathfrak{D}_{\sigma}},$$
  
$$\operatorname{i} \log \Phi_{\sigma \operatorname{i}}[(X_{1}, \mathsf{p}_{1}), (X_{2}, \mathsf{p}_{2})] = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{1}} \operatorname{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^{1}) \operatorname{p}_{2\mu} F^{\mu}(X_{1}) + W_{\operatorname{i}}[(X_{1}, X_{2})]$$

**Thm.:**  $\Phi_{\sigma}$  can. defines a flat bi-brane with

- world-volume  $Q = (id_M \times F)(M) \subset M \times M$ , with  $F \in Isom(M, g)$ ;
- bi-brane maps  $\iota_{\alpha} = pr_{\alpha}, \ \alpha \in \{1, 2\};$
- bi-brane 1-isomorphism  $\Phi: \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\cong} F^*\mathcal{G}$

These are INTERNAL SYMMETRIES of the closed string.

# Part III

# Generalised geometry with a 2-categorial twist

#### Brackets on the state space and on the target space

<u>Observation: GENERALISED GEOMETRY</u> natural in the symplectic setting  $(P, \Omega)$ , via HAMILTONIAN SECTIONS:

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{X}_h &= \mathscr{X}_h \oplus h \in \ker \mathsf{d}_\Omega \subset \Gamma(\mathsf{E}^{(1,0)}\mathsf{P}) \,, \qquad \mathsf{E}^{(1,0)}\mathsf{P} := \wedge^1\mathsf{T}\mathsf{P} \oplus \wedge^0\mathsf{T}^*\mathsf{P} \to \mathsf{P} \\ \text{and } \Omega\text{-TWISTED VINOGRADOV BRACKET:} \end{split}$$

 $\left[ \mathfrak{X}_{h_1} , \mathfrak{X}_{h_2} \right]_{\mathrm{V}}^{\Omega} := \left[ \mathfrak{X}_{h_1} , \mathfrak{X}_{h_2} \right] \oplus \left( \mathfrak{X}_{h_1} \lrcorner \, \mathrm{d}h_2 - \mathfrak{X}_{h_2} \lrcorner \, \mathrm{d}h_1 + \mathfrak{X}_{h_1} \lrcorner \, \mathfrak{X}_{h_2} \lrcorner \, \Omega \right) \equiv \mathfrak{X}_{\left\{ \begin{array}{c} h_1 , h_2 \end{array}\right\}_{\Omega}}$ 

#### Brackets on the state space and on the target space

Observation: GENERALISED GEOMETRY natural in the symplectic setting (P,  $\Omega$ ), via HAMILTONIAN SECTIONS:

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{X}_h &= \mathscr{X}_h \oplus h \in \ker d_\Omega \subset \Gamma(\mathsf{E}^{(1,0)}\mathsf{P}) \,, \qquad \mathsf{E}^{(1,0)}\mathsf{P} := \wedge^1\mathsf{T}\mathsf{P} \oplus \wedge^0\mathsf{T}^*\mathsf{P} \to \mathsf{P} \\ \text{and } \Omega\text{-TWISTED VINOGRADOV BRACKET:} \end{split}$$

 $[\mathfrak{X}_{h_1},\mathfrak{X}_{h_2}]_{\mathrm{V}}^{\Omega} := [\mathfrak{X}_{h_1},\mathfrak{X}_{h_2}] \oplus (\mathfrak{X}_{h_1} \lrcorner dh_2 - \mathfrak{X}_{h_2} \lrcorner dh_1 + \mathfrak{X}_{h_1} \lrcorner \mathfrak{X}_{h_2} \lrcorner \Omega) \equiv \mathfrak{X}_{\{h_1,h_2\}_{\Omega}}$ 

<u>Idea</u>: Given the manifold structure on the space of *σ*-model fields, we can look for bracket structures on  $E^{(1,\bullet)}(M \sqcup Q \sqcup T) \to M \sqcup Q \sqcup T$ closing on *σ*-SYMMETRIC SECTIONS, with a homomorphic lift to <u>CANONICAL</u> VINOGRADOV STRUCTURE  $\mathfrak{V}^{\Omega}\mathsf{P}_{\sigma} := (E^{(1,0)}\mathsf{P}_{\sigma}, [\cdot, \cdot]^{\Omega_{\sigma}}_{\mathsf{V}}, \alpha_{\mathsf{T}\mathsf{P}_{\sigma}})$ 

#### Brackets on the state space and on the target space

Observation: GENERALISED GEOMETRY natural in the symplectic setting (P,  $\Omega$ ), via HAMILTONIAN SECTIONS:

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{X}_h &= \mathscr{X}_h \oplus h \in \ker d_\Omega \subset \Gamma(\mathsf{E}^{(1,0)}\mathsf{P}) \,, \qquad \mathsf{E}^{(1,0)}\mathsf{P} := \wedge^1\mathsf{T}\mathsf{P} \oplus \wedge^0\mathsf{T}^*\mathsf{P} \to \mathsf{P} \\ \text{and } \Omega\text{-TWISTED VINOGRADOV BRACKET:} \end{split}$$

 $\left[ \mathfrak{X}_{h_1} , \mathfrak{X}_{h_2} \right]_{\mathrm{V}}^{\Omega} := \left[ \mathfrak{X}_{h_1} , \mathfrak{X}_{h_2} \right] \oplus \left( \mathfrak{X}_{h_1} \lrcorner \, \mathrm{d}h_2 - \mathfrak{X}_{h_2} \lrcorner \, \mathrm{d}h_1 + \mathfrak{X}_{h_1} \lrcorner \, \mathfrak{X}_{h_2} \lrcorner \, \Omega \right) \equiv \mathfrak{X}_{\left\{ \begin{array}{c} h_1 , h_2 \end{array}\right\}_{\Omega}}$ 

Idea: Given the manifold structure on the space of  $\sigma$ -model fields, we can look for bracket structures on  $E^{(1,\bullet)}(M \sqcup Q \sqcup T) \to M \sqcup Q \sqcup T$  closing on  $\sigma$ -SYMMETRIC SECTIONS, with a homomorphic lift to

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{CANONICAL} \\ \text{VINOGRADOV STRUCTURE} \end{array} \quad \mathfrak{V}^{\Omega}\mathsf{P}_{\sigma} := \left(\mathsf{E}^{(1,0)}\mathsf{P}_{\sigma}, [\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,]^{\Omega_{\sigma}}_{V}\,, \alpha_{\mathsf{TP}_{\sigma}}\right) \end{array}$ 

<u>Hint</u>: The answer is known for  $P_{\sigma,\emptyset}$ : Courant algebroid  $\mathfrak{C}^H M$  on  $E^{(1,1)}M$  with Courant bracket twisted by H à la Ševera–Weinstein, Hitchin-isomorphic with  $\mathfrak{C}_G M$ 

#### Brackets on the state space and on the target space – ctd.

Thm.: In the presence of defects, the answer given by  $(\Delta_Q := \iota_2^* - \iota_1^*)$   $\mathfrak{M}^{(1,0),(\mathrm{H},\omega;\Delta_Q)}(M \sqcup Q) := (\mathsf{E}^{(1,1)}M \sqcup \mathsf{E}^{(1,0)}Q, [[\cdot, \cdot]]^{(\mathrm{H},\omega;\Delta_Q)}, (\cdot, \cdot)_{\lrcorner}, \alpha_{\mathsf{T}(M\sqcup Q)})$ with **TWISTED BRACKET** on  $\mathfrak{V}_i = ({}^{M}\mathfrak{V}_i, {}^{Q}\mathfrak{V}_i) = ({}^{M}\mathscr{V}_i \oplus \upsilon_i, {}^{Q}\mathscr{V}_i \oplus \xi_i)$   $[[\mathfrak{V}_1, \mathfrak{V}_2]]^{(\mathrm{H},\omega;\Delta_Q)}|_{M} = [{}^{M}_{\mathscr{V}_1}, {}^{M}_{\mathscr{V}_2}] \oplus (\mathscr{L}_{M_{\mathscr{V}_1}}\upsilon_2 - \mathscr{L}_{M_{\mathscr{V}_2}}\upsilon_1 - \frac{1}{2}d({}^{M}_{\mathscr{V}_1 \lrcorner}\upsilon_2 - {}^{M}_{\mathscr{V}_2 \lrcorner}\upsilon_1) + {}^{M}_{\mathscr{V}_1 \lrcorner}{}^{M}_{\mathscr{V}_2 \lrcorner} \mathrm{H}),$   $[[\mathfrak{V}_1, \mathfrak{V}_2]]^{(\mathrm{H},\omega;\Delta_Q)}|_{Q} = [{}^{Q}_{\mathscr{V}_1}, {}^{Q}_{\mathscr{V}_2}] \oplus ({}^{Q}_{\mathscr{V}_1 \lrcorner} d\xi_2 - {}^{Q}_{\mathscr{V}_2 \lrcorner} d\xi_1 + {}^{Q}_{\mathscr{V}_1 \lrcorner}{}^{Q}_{\mathscr{V}_2 \lrcorner} \omega + \frac{1}{2}({}^{Q}_{\mathscr{V}_1 \lrcorner} \Delta_Q \upsilon_2 - {}^{Q}_{\mathscr{V}_2 \lrcorner} \Delta_Q \upsilon_1))),$  $(\mathfrak{V}_1, \mathfrak{V}_2)_{\lrcorner} = \frac{1}{2}({}^{M}_{\mathscr{V}_1 \lrcorner} \upsilon_2 + {}^{M}_{\mathscr{V}_2 \lrcorner} \upsilon_1)$ 

#### Brackets on the state space and on the target space – ctd.

Thm.: In the presence of defects, the answer given by  $(\Delta_Q := \iota_2^* - \iota_1^*)$   $\mathfrak{M}^{(1,0),(\mathrm{H},\omega;\Delta_Q)}(M \sqcup Q) := (\mathsf{E}^{(1,1)}M \sqcup \mathsf{E}^{(1,0)}Q, [[\cdot, \cdot]]^{(\mathrm{H},\omega;\Delta_Q)}, (\cdot, \cdot)_{\lrcorner}, \alpha_{\mathsf{T}(M\sqcup Q)})$ with TWISTED BRACKET on  $\mathfrak{V}_i = ({}^{M}\mathfrak{V}_i, {}^{Q}\mathfrak{V}_i) = ({}^{M}\mathscr{V}_i \oplus \upsilon_i, {}^{Q}\mathscr{V}_i \oplus \xi_i)$   $[[\mathfrak{V}_1, \mathfrak{V}_2]]^{(\mathrm{H},\omega;\Delta_Q)}|_M = [{}^{M}\mathscr{Y}_1, {}^{M}\mathscr{Y}_2] \oplus (\mathscr{D}_{M_{\mathscr{Y}_1}}\upsilon_2 - \mathscr{D}_{M_{\mathscr{Y}_2}}\upsilon_1 - \frac{1}{2}\mathsf{d}^{(M_{\mathscr{Y}_1}}\upsilon_2 - {}^{M}\mathscr{Y}_2 \cup \upsilon_1) + {}^{M}\mathscr{Y}_1 \cup {}^{M}\mathscr{Y}_2 \cup \mathrm{H})},$   $[[\mathfrak{V}_1, \mathfrak{V}_2]]^{(\mathrm{H},\omega;\Delta_Q)}|_Q = [{}^{Q}\mathscr{Y}_1, {}^{Q}\mathscr{Y}_2] \oplus ({}^{Q}\mathscr{Y}_1 \cup d\xi_2 - {}^{Q}\mathscr{Y}_2 \cup d\xi_1 + {}^{Q}\mathscr{Y}_1 \cup {}^{Q}\mathscr{Y}_2 \cup \omega + \frac{1}{2}({}^{Q}\mathscr{Y}_1 \cup \Delta_Q \upsilon_2 - {}^{Q}\mathscr{Y}_2 \cup \Delta_Q \upsilon_1))),$  $(\mathfrak{V}_1, \mathfrak{V}_2)_{\lrcorner} = \frac{1}{2}({}^{M}\mathscr{Y}_1 \cup \upsilon_2 + {}^{M}\mathscr{Y}_2 \cup \upsilon_1)$ 

<u>Observation</u>: Study of automorphisms and Hitchin-type iso's  $\mathfrak{M}^{(1,0),(\mathrm{H},\omega;\Delta_Q)}_{\iota_{\alpha}}(M\sqcup Q) \cong \mathfrak{M}^{(1,0),(0,0;\Delta_Q)}_{(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{B}),\iota_{\alpha}}(M\sqcup Q)$ 

indicate that generalised geometry is a natural generalisation of the geometry of T*M* in the presence of  $\mathfrak{BGrb}^{\nabla}(M \sqcup Q \sqcup T)$ 

#### Brackets on the state space and on the target space – ctd.

Thm.: In the presence of defects, the answer given by  $(\Delta_Q := \iota_2^* - \iota_1^*)$   $\mathfrak{M}^{(1,0),(\mathrm{H},\omega;\Delta_Q)}(M \sqcup Q) := (\mathsf{E}^{(1,1)}M \sqcup \mathsf{E}^{(1,0)}Q, [[\cdot, \cdot]]^{(\mathrm{H},\omega;\Delta_Q)}, (\cdot, \cdot)_{\lrcorner}, \alpha_{\mathsf{T}(M\sqcup Q)})$ with TWISTED BRACKET on  $\mathfrak{V}_i = ({}^{M}\mathfrak{V}_i, {}^{Q}\mathfrak{V}_i) = ({}^{M}\mathscr{V}_i \oplus \upsilon_i, {}^{Q}\mathscr{V}_i \oplus \xi_i)$   $[[\mathfrak{V}_1, \mathfrak{V}_2]]^{(\mathrm{H},\omega;\Delta_Q)}|_M = [{}^{M}\mathscr{V}_1, {}^{M}\mathscr{V}_2] \oplus (\mathscr{G}_{M_{\mathscr{V}_1}}\upsilon_2 - \mathscr{G}_{M_{\mathscr{V}_2}}\upsilon_1 - \frac{1}{2}\mathsf{d}^{(M_{\mathscr{V}_1 \lrcorner}}\upsilon_2 - {}^{M}\mathscr{V}_2 \lrcorner \upsilon_1) + {}^{M}\mathscr{V}_1 \lrcorner {}^{M}\mathscr{V}_2 \lrcorner \mathrm{H}),$   $[[\mathfrak{V}_1, \mathfrak{V}_2]]^{(\mathrm{H},\omega;\Delta_Q)}|_Q = [{}^{Q}\mathscr{V}_1, {}^{Q}\mathscr{V}_2] \oplus ({}^{Q}\mathscr{V}_1 \lrcorner {}^{d}\xi_2 - {}^{Q}\mathscr{V}_2 \lrcorner {}^{d}\xi_1 + {}^{Q}\mathscr{V}_1 \lrcorner {}^{Q}\mathscr{V}_2 \lrcorner \omega + \frac{1}{2}({}^{Q}\mathscr{V}_1 \lrcorner \Delta_Q \upsilon_2 - {}^{Q}\mathscr{V}_2 \lrcorner \Delta_Q \upsilon_1)),$  $(\mathfrak{V}_1, \mathfrak{V}_2)_{\lrcorner} = \frac{1}{2}({}^{M}\mathscr{V}_1 \lrcorner \upsilon_2 + {}^{M}\mathscr{V}_2 \lrcorner \upsilon_1)$ 

Observation: Study of automorphisms and Hitchin-type iso's

$$\mathfrak{M}^{(1,0),(\mathrm{H},\omega;\Delta_{Q})}_{\iota_{lpha}}(\emph{M}\sqcup \emph{Q})\cong\mathfrak{M}^{(1,0),(0,0;\Delta_{Q})}_{(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{B}),\iota_{lpha}}(\emph{M}\sqcup \emph{Q})$$

indicate that generalised geometry is a natural generalisation of the geometry of T*M* in the presence of  $\mathfrak{BGrb}^{\nabla}(M \sqcup Q \sqcup T)$ 

In keeping with the above,

Thm.:  $\mathcal{B}$  can. induces a morphism in the category of twisted Courant algebroids on  $E^{(1,1)}Q$ .

## Gaugeability constraints for $\sigma$ -model symmetries

Internal symmetries of  $S_{\sigma} \equiv \sigma$ -SYMMETRIC  $\iota_{\alpha}$ -ALIGNED SECTIONS

$$\mathfrak{K}_{a} = ({}^{\mathsf{M}}\mathfrak{K}_{a}, {}^{\mathsf{Q}}\mathfrak{K}_{a}) = ({}^{\mathsf{M}}\mathscr{K}_{a} \oplus \kappa_{a}, {}^{\mathsf{Q}}\mathscr{K}_{a} \oplus k_{a}),$$
$$a \in \overline{1, \dim \mathfrak{k}_{\sigma,\iota_{\alpha}}}$$

$$\begin{cases} \iota_{\alpha} * {}^{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{K}_{a} = {}^{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{K}_{a}|_{\iota_{\alpha}(Q)} \\ d_{H} {}^{\mathcal{M}} \mathfrak{K}_{a} = 0 \\ d_{\omega} {}^{\mathcal{O}} \mathfrak{K}_{a} + \Delta_{Q} \kappa_{a} = 0 \end{cases}$$

The corresponding hamiltonian sections  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{K}}_{a} = e^{\mathrm{pr}_{\mathsf{T}^{*}\mathsf{L}_{\sigma}M}\theta_{\mathsf{T}^{*}\mathsf{L}_{\sigma}M}} \triangleright \widetilde{L}\mathfrak{K}_{a}, \qquad \widetilde{L} : \Gamma_{\iota_{\alpha}}(\mathsf{E}^{(1,1)}M \sqcup \mathsf{E}^{(1,0)}Q) \to \Gamma(\mathsf{E}^{(1,0)}\mathsf{P}_{\sigma}),$ written in terms of the canonical 1-form  $\theta_{\mathsf{T}^{*}\mathsf{L}_{\sigma}M} \in \Omega^{1}(\mathsf{T}^{*}\mathsf{L}_{\sigma}M)$ , obey

$$\left[\widetilde{\mathfrak{K}}_{a}, \widetilde{\mathfrak{K}}_{b}\right]_{\mathrm{V}}^{\Omega_{\sigma}} = \left[\left[\mathfrak{K}_{a}, \widetilde{\mathfrak{K}_{b}}\right]\right]^{(\mathrm{H},\omega;\Delta_{O})}$$

## Gaugeability constraints for $\sigma$ -model symmetries

Internal symmetries of  $S_{\sigma} \equiv \sigma$ -SYMMETRIC  $\iota_{\alpha}$ -ALIGNED SECTIONS

$$\mathfrak{K}_{a} = ({}^{\mathsf{M}}\mathfrak{K}_{a}, {}^{\mathsf{Q}}\mathfrak{K}_{a}) = ({}^{\mathsf{M}}\mathscr{K}_{a} \oplus \kappa_{a}, {}^{\mathsf{Q}}\mathscr{K}_{a} \oplus k_{a}),$$
$$a \in \overline{1, \dim \mathfrak{k}_{\sigma,\iota_{\alpha}}}$$

$$\begin{cases} \iota_{\alpha} * {}^{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{K}_{a} = {}^{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{K}_{a} |_{\iota_{\alpha}(Q)} \\ \mathsf{d}_{\mathrm{H}} {}^{\mathcal{M}} \mathfrak{K}_{a} = 0 \\ \mathsf{d}_{\omega} {}^{\mathcal{O}} \mathfrak{K}_{a} + \Delta_{Q} \kappa_{a} = 0 \end{cases}$$

The corresponding hamiltonian sections  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{K}}_{a} = e^{\mathrm{pr}_{\mathsf{T}^{*}\mathsf{L}_{\sigma}M}\theta_{\mathsf{T}^{*}\mathsf{L}_{\sigma}M}} \triangleright \widetilde{L}\mathfrak{K}_{a}, \qquad \widetilde{L} : \Gamma_{\iota_{\alpha}}(\mathsf{E}^{(1,1)}M \sqcup \mathsf{E}^{(1,0)}Q) \to \Gamma(\mathsf{E}^{(1,0)}\mathsf{P}_{\sigma}),$ written in terms of the canonical 1-form  $\theta_{\mathsf{T}^{*}\mathsf{L}_{\sigma}M} \in \Omega^{1}(\mathsf{T}^{*}\mathsf{L}_{\sigma}M)$ , obey  $\left[\widetilde{\mathfrak{K}}_{a}, \widetilde{\mathfrak{K}}_{b}\right]_{V}^{\Omega_{\sigma}} = \left[[\mathfrak{K}_{a}, \widetilde{\mathfrak{K}}_{b}]\right]^{(\mathsf{H},\omega;\Delta_{Q})}$ 

The realisation of  $\mathfrak{k}_{\sigma,\iota_{\alpha}}$  on the state space becomes hamiltonian iff  $[[\mathfrak{K}_a, \mathfrak{K}_b]]^{(\mathrm{H},\omega;\Delta_Q)} = f_{ab}^{\ \ c} \mathfrak{K}_c$ 

# Gaugeability constraints for $\sigma$ -model symmetries – ctd.

**Conclusion:** Necessary conditions of gaugeability of  $\mathfrak{k}_{\sigma,\iota_{\alpha}}$ :

$$\left(\oplus_{a\in\overline{\mathbf{1},\dim\mathfrak{k}_{\sigma,\iota_{\alpha}}}}\mathbb{R}\,\mathfrak{K}_{a},[[\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,]]^{(\mathrm{H},\omega;\Delta_{\mathcal{O}})}\right)\cong\mathfrak{k}_{\sigma,\iota_{\alpha}}\qquad\wedge\qquad(\,\mathfrak{K}_{a}\,,\,\mathfrak{K}_{b}\,)_{\lrcorner}=0$$

# Gaugeability constraints for $\sigma$ -model symmetries – ctd.

Conclusion: Necessary conditions of gaugeability of  $\mathfrak{k}_{\sigma,\iota_{\alpha}}$ :

$$\left(\oplus_{a\in\overline{\mathbf{1},\dim\mathfrak{k}_{\sigma,\iota_{\alpha}}}}\mathbb{R}\,\mathfrak{K}_{a},\left[\left[\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,\right]\right]^{(\mathrm{H},\omega;\Delta_{Q})}\right)\cong\mathfrak{k}_{\sigma,\iota_{\alpha}}\qquad\wedge\qquad\left(\,\mathfrak{K}_{a}\,,\,\mathfrak{K}_{b}\,\right)_{\lrcorner\,}=0$$

N.B. The 'isotropy' condition nullifies the anomaly of the Poisson algebra of the Noether currents.

# Gaugeability constraints for $\sigma$ -model symmetries – ctd.

Conclusion: Necessary conditions of gaugeability of  $\mathfrak{k}_{\sigma,\iota_{\alpha}}$ :

$$\left(\oplus_{a\in\overline{1,\dim\mathfrak{k}_{\sigma,\iota_{\alpha}}}}\mathbb{R}\,\mathfrak{K}_{a},\left[\left[\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,\right]\right]^{(\mathrm{H},\omega;\Delta_{Q})}\right)\cong\mathfrak{k}_{\sigma,\iota_{\alpha}}\qquad\wedge\qquad\left(\,\mathfrak{K}_{a}\,,\,\mathfrak{K}_{b}\,\right)_{\lrcorner\,}=0$$

N.B. The 'isotropy' condition nullifies the anomaly of the Poisson algebra of the Noether currents.

Equivalently, the gaugeability relations ensure the existence of a  $\mathfrak{t}_{\sigma,\iota_{\alpha}}$ -equivariantly closed extension  $\widehat{H}$  of H, and a  $\mathfrak{t}_{\sigma,\iota_{\alpha}}$ -equivariant extension  $\widehat{\omega}$  of  $\omega$  in the Cartan model of  $\mathfrak{t}_{\sigma,\iota_{\alpha}}$ -equivariant cohomology of  $M \sqcup Q$ , s.t.

 $\widehat{d}\widehat{H} = 0\,, \qquad \qquad \widehat{d}\widehat{\omega} = -\Delta_Q\widehat{H}$ 

for  $\widehat{d}\eta(X) = d\eta(X) + X^a \mathscr{K}_{a \sqcup} \eta(X), \ X \in \mathfrak{k}_{\sigma,\iota_{\alpha}}.$ 

# Part IV

# The gauged $\sigma$ -model

# Motivation for & problems with gauging

# I Motivation:

- 1.1 string theory on cosets (via gauging & symplectic reduction);
- I.2 T-duality etc.

#### II Problems:

- II.1 lifting the geometric action of the isometry (sub-)group to  $\mathfrak{BGrb}^{\nabla}(M \sqcup Q \sqcup T)$ ;
- II.2 coupling (non-trivial) world-sheet gauge fields to  $\mathfrak{BGrb}^{\nabla}(M \sqcup Q \sqcup T)$ .

# **Equivariant structures**

Simplicial descent schemes: in the absence of defects,



#### where

 $\Upsilon \ : \ {}^{G}\!d_{1}^{(1)} {}^{*}\mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\cong} d_{0}^{(1)} {}^{*}\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\rho} \,,$ 

$$\gamma \; : \; \left( {}^{\mathrm{G}} \! \operatorname{d}^{(2)}_{0} * \Upsilon \otimes \mathrm{id} \right) \circ {}^{\mathrm{G}} \! \operatorname{d}^{(2)}_{2} * \Upsilon \overset{\cong}{\Longrightarrow} {}^{\mathrm{G}} \! \operatorname{d}^{(2)}_{1} * \Upsilon$$

# **Equivariant structures**

Simplicial descent schemes: in the absence of defects,



 $\Upsilon \ : \ {}^{\mathbf{C}} d_{1}^{(1)} * \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\simeq} d_{0}^{(1)} * \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\rho} \,, \qquad \qquad \gamma \ : \ ({}^{\mathbf{C}} d_{0}^{(2)} * \Upsilon \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ {}^{\mathbf{C}} d_{2}^{(2)} * \Upsilon \xrightarrow{\simeq} {}^{\mathbf{C}} d_{1}^{(2)} * \Upsilon$ 

<u>Observation</u>: Gaugeability fixes  $\rho = \theta_L^a \wedge \kappa_a + \frac{1}{2} \theta_L^a \wedge \theta_L^b ({}^{M}\mathcal{K}_a \lrcorner \kappa_b)$ 

# **Equivariant structures**

Simplicial descent schemes: in the absence of defects,



$$\Upsilon \ : \ {}^{\mathbf{G}}\mathbf{d}_{1}^{(1)} {}^{*}\mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{d}_{0}^{(1)} {}^{*}\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\rho} \,, \qquad \qquad \gamma \ : \ \left( {}^{\mathbf{G}}\mathbf{d}_{0}^{(2)} {}^{*}\Upsilon \otimes \mathrm{id} \right) \circ {}^{\mathbf{G}}\mathbf{d}_{2}^{(2)} {}^{*}\Upsilon \xrightarrow{\cong} {}^{\mathbf{G}}\mathbf{d}_{1}^{(2)} {}^{*}\Upsilon$$

<u>Observation</u>: Gaugeability fixes  $\rho = \theta_L^a \wedge \kappa_a + \frac{1}{2} \theta_L^a \wedge \theta_L^b ({}^{M}\mathcal{K}_a \lrcorner \kappa_b)$ <u>'Problem'</u>:

<u>Thm.</u>:  $\mathfrak{BGrb}^{\nabla}(M)^{G,\rho=0} \cong \mathfrak{BGrb}^{\nabla}(M/G)$ However,  $\kappa_a \neq 0$  generically.

# Equivariant structures – ctd.

Similarly, in the presence of defects,

$$\begin{array}{c} \cdots & \overset{^{G}\!\mathcal{G}_{i}^{(3)}}{\Longrightarrow} G^{2} \times \mathcal{Q} & \overset{^{G}\!\mathcal{G}_{i}^{(2)}}{\Longrightarrow} G \times \mathcal{Q} & \overset{^{G}\!\mathcal{G}_{i}^{(1)}}{\Longrightarrow} \mathcal{Q} \left( - \overset{\mathcal{Q}_{\overline{\omega}_{G}}}{- } \mathcal{Q}/G \right) \\ \text{c.c.}(\Xi, \gamma) & \Xi & \Phi & \overline{\Phi} \end{array}$$

where

$$\Xi \; : \; \left( \ell_2^* \Upsilon \otimes \mathrm{id} \right) \circ {}^{\mathcal{O}}\!\! d_1^{(1)} {}^* \Phi \overset{\cong}{\Longrightarrow} \left( {}^{\mathcal{O}}\!\! d_0^{(1)} {}^* \Phi \otimes \mathcal{J}_\lambda \right) \circ \ell_1^* \Upsilon$$

# Equivariant structures – ctd.

Similarly, in the presence of defects,

$$\begin{array}{c} \cdots & \overset{^{G}\!\mathcal{G}_{i}^{(3)}}{\Longrightarrow} G^{2} \times \mathcal{Q} & \overset{^{G}\!\mathcal{G}_{i}^{(2)}}{\Longrightarrow} G \times \mathcal{Q} & \overset{^{G}\!\mathcal{G}_{i}^{(1)}}{\Longrightarrow} \mathcal{Q} \left( - \overset{\mathcal{Q}_{\overline{\omega}_{G}}}{- } \mathcal{Q}/G \right) \\ \text{c.c.}(\Xi, \gamma) & \Xi & \Phi & \overline{\Phi} \end{array}$$

where

$$\Xi \; : \; \big( \iota_2^* \Upsilon \otimes \mathrm{id} \big) \circ {}^{\mathcal{O}}\!\! d_1^{(1)\,*} \Phi \overset{\cong}{\Longrightarrow} \big( {}^{\mathcal{O}}\!\! d_0^{(1)\,*} \Phi \otimes \mathcal{J}_\lambda \big) \circ \iota_1^* \Upsilon$$

**Observation:** Gaugeability fixes  $\lambda = \theta_I^a k_a$ 

# Equivariant structures – ctd.

Similarly, in the presence of defects,

$$\begin{array}{c} & \overset{^{G}\!\mathcal{G}_{i}^{(3)}}{=} & G^{2} \times \mathcal{Q} \xrightarrow{^{G}\!\mathcal{G}_{i}^{(2)}} & G \times \mathcal{Q} \xrightarrow{^{G}\!\mathcal{G}_{i}^{(1)}} \mathcal{Q} \left( - \xrightarrow{^{Q}_{\overline{\omega}_{G}}} \mathcal{Q} / G \right) \\ & & \text{c.c.}(\Xi, \gamma) \qquad \Xi \qquad \Phi \qquad \overline{\Phi} \end{array}$$

where

$$\Xi \; : \; \left( \iota_2^* \Upsilon \otimes id \right) \circ {}^{\mathcal{O}}\!\!\mathcal{d}_1^{(1)\,*} \Phi \overset{\cong}{\Longrightarrow} \left( {}^{\mathcal{O}}\!\!\mathcal{d}_0^{(1)\,*} \Phi \otimes \mathcal{J}_\lambda \right) \circ \iota_1^* \Upsilon$$

# <u>Observation</u>: Gaugeability fixes $\lambda = \theta_L^a k_a$ <u>'Problem'</u>:

 $\underline{\text{Thm.:}} \begin{cases}
Equivalence classes \\
of (G, 0)-equivariant bi-branes \\
with world-volume Q \\
for (G, 0)-equivariant gerbes over M
\end{cases}$ 

However,  $k_a \neq 0$  generically.

Equivalence classes of bi-branes with world-volume Q/Gfor gerbes over M/G

# The coupling of the world-sheet gauge field

Observation: Gauging G prerequires replacing  $X \in C^1(\Sigma, M \sqcup Q)$ with  $X \in \Gamma(P \times_G (M \sqcup Q))$  for

principal G-bundle G  $\hookrightarrow P \to \Sigma$ , with principal G-connection  $\mathcal{A} \in \Omega^1(P) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ 

#### The coupling of the world-sheet gauge field

<u>Observation</u>: Gauging G prerequires replacing  $X \in C^1(\Sigma, M \sqcup Q)$ with  $X \in \Gamma(P \times_G (M \sqcup Q))$  for

principal G-bundle G  $\hookrightarrow P \to \Sigma$ , with principal G-connection  $\mathcal{A} \in \Omega^1(P) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ 

The study of the conditions of invariance (for P trivial) of

 $S_{\sigma, \text{top}}[(\Gamma, X)] \mapsto S_{\sigma, \text{top}}[(\Gamma, X)] + \int_{\Sigma} (X \times \text{id}_{\Sigma})^* \zeta_A + \int_{\Gamma} ((X \times \text{id}_{\Gamma})|_{\Gamma})^* \mu_A,$  $\zeta_A(\sigma, m) = -\alpha_a(m) \wedge A^a(\sigma) + \frac{1}{2} \beta_{ab}(m) A^a \wedge A^b(\sigma), \qquad \mu_A(\sigma, m) = \gamma_a(m) A^a(\sigma)$ leads to the definitions

 $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}} \quad := \quad \mathrm{pr}_2^* \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\rho_{\mathcal{A}}} \,, \qquad \rho_{\mathcal{A}}(p,m) := -\kappa_a(m) \wedge \mathcal{A}^a(p) + \tfrac{1}{2} \, ({}^M \mathscr{X}_{a \lrcorner } \kappa_b)(m) \, \mathcal{A}^a \wedge \mathcal{A}^b(p) \,,$ 

 $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}} := \mathrm{pr}_2^* \Phi \otimes \mathcal{J}_{\lambda_{\mathcal{A}}}, \qquad \lambda_{\mathcal{A}}(p,m) := k_a(m) \, \mathcal{A}^a(p)$ 

#### The coupling of the world-sheet gauge field

<u>Observation</u>: Gauging G prerequires replacing  $X \in C^1(\Sigma, M \sqcup Q)$  with  $X \in \Gamma(P \times_G (M \sqcup Q))$  for

principal G-bundle G  $\hookrightarrow P \to \Sigma$ , with principal G-connection  $\mathcal{A} \in \Omega^1(P) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ 

The study of the conditions of invariance (for P trivial) of

 $S_{\sigma, \text{top}}[(\Gamma, X)] \mapsto S_{\sigma, \text{top}}[(\Gamma, X)] + \int_{\Sigma} (X \times \text{id}_{\Sigma})^* \zeta_A + \int_{\Gamma} ((X \times \text{id}_{\Gamma})|_{\Gamma})^* \mu_A,$  $\zeta_A(\sigma, m) = -\alpha_a(m) \wedge A^a(\sigma) + \frac{1}{2} \beta_{ab}(m) A^a \wedge A^b(\sigma), \qquad \mu_A(\sigma, m) = \gamma_a(m) A^a(\sigma)$ leads to the definitions

 $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}} \quad := \quad \mathrm{pr}_2^*\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\rho_{\mathcal{A}}}\,, \qquad \rho_{\mathcal{A}}(p,m) := -\kappa_a(m) \wedge \mathcal{A}^a(p) + \tfrac{1}{2}\,({}^M\!\mathscr{H}_{a \lrcorner\,}\kappa_b)(m)\,\mathcal{A}^a \wedge \mathcal{A}^b(p)\,,$ 

 $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}} := \mathrm{pr}_{2}^{*} \Phi \otimes \mathcal{J}_{\lambda_{\mathcal{A}}}, \qquad \lambda_{\mathcal{A}}(p,m) := k_{a}(m) \, \mathcal{A}^{a}(p)$ 

Thm.:  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}}$  carries a canonical structure of a (G, 0)-equivariant gerbe on  $P \times M$ , and  $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}$  carries a canonical structure of a (G, 0)-equivariant  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}}$ -bi-brane on  $P|_{\Gamma} \times Q$ .

# The coupling of the world-sheet gauge field – ctd.

Corollary:  $(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}}, \Phi_{\mathcal{A}})$  descend to unique (equivalence classes of)  $\overline{(\overline{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathcal{A}}, \overline{\Phi}_{\mathcal{A}})}$  over  $P \times_{G} (M \sqcup Q)$ , and so can be used to define the G-GAUGED  $\sigma$ -MODEL

 $S_{\sigma}[(\Gamma, X); \mathcal{A}, \gamma] = S_{\sigma, kin}[X; \mathcal{A}, \gamma] - i \log \operatorname{Hol}_{\overline{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathcal{A}}, \overline{\Phi}_{\mathcal{A}}}(\Gamma, X),$ 

with  $S_{\sigma,kin}[X; A, \gamma]$  obtained through minimal coupling.

# The coupling of the world-sheet gauge field – ctd.

**Corollary:**  $(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}}, \Phi_{\mathcal{A}})$  descend to unique (equivalence classes of)  $\overline{(\overline{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathcal{A}}, \overline{\Phi}_{\mathcal{A}})}$  over  $P \times_{\mathrm{G}} (M \sqcup Q)$ , and so can be used to define the **G-GAUGED**  $\sigma$ -MODEL

 $S_{\sigma}[(\Gamma, X); \mathcal{A}, \gamma] = S_{\sigma, kin}[X; \mathcal{A}, \gamma] - i \log \operatorname{Hol}_{\overline{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathcal{A}}, \overline{\Phi}_{\mathcal{A}}}(\Gamma, X),$ 

with  $S_{\sigma,kin}[X; A, \gamma]$  obtained through minimal coupling.

Recall that the gauge group  $G_{\Sigma}$  is the set  $\Gamma(P \times_{Ad G} G)$  with the group operation induced from

 $[(\rho, g_1)] \cdot [(\rho, g_2)] := [(\rho, g_1 \cdot g_2)]$ 

and with the action on P induced by

 $(P \times_{\operatorname{Ad} G} G) \times P \to P$  :  $([(\tau_i(\sigma, g), h)], \tau_i(\sigma, g)) \mapsto \tau_i(\sigma, h \cdot g) =: [(\tau_i(\sigma, g), h)] \rhd \tau_i(\sigma, g)$ . as per

 $\lambda_{\cdot} : \ \Gamma(\mathbf{P} \times_{\operatorname{Ad} G} \operatorname{G}) \times \mathbf{P} \to \mathbf{P} : \ \left(\chi_i, \tau_i(\sigma, \mathbf{g})\right) \mapsto \chi_i(\sigma) \rhd \tau_i(\sigma, \mathbf{g}) =: \lambda_{(\chi_i)}(\tau_i(\sigma, \mathbf{g})) .$ 

#### We have the fundamental

Thm.:  $S_{\sigma}[(\Gamma, X); \mathcal{A}, \gamma]$  is invariant under G-gauge transformations

$$((\chi_i), X) \mapsto ((\lambda_{(\chi_i)} \circ \operatorname{pr}_1) \times \operatorname{pr}_2) \circ X, \qquad \mathcal{A} \mapsto \lambda^*_{(\chi_i^{-1})} \mathcal{A}.$$

**Proof:** uses the G-equivariance of A and the form of  $\rho_A$  and  $\lambda_A$ .

# Part V

# **Outlook**

# Outlook

- understanding T-duality, with particular emphasis on geometric structures behind the metric, the torsion and the dilaton;
- construction of spaces modelled on toroidal bundles only locally;
- including supersymmetry in the generalised geometric framework with a 2-categorial twist;
- study of the effective gerbe-twisted gauge field theory and the emergent geometry of bi-branes in the gerbe-theoretic context;
- gerbe theory vs criticality (generalised Ricci flows?);
- 'holographic principle' for higher categorial structures;